Petrahedron Letters No.10, pp. 863-867, 1967. Pergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain.

INTERNAL ROTATION

ABOUT THE =C-N BOND IN ENAMINES AND THE =N-N BOND IN HYDRAZONES 1)

Albrecht Mannschreck and Ulrich Koelle Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Heidelberg (Received 30 December 1966)

Resonance structures \underline{A} and \underline{B} must be considered for the ground state of enamines ²). Hindrance of free rotation about the C-N bond is dependent upon the contribution of <u>B</u>. The kinetics of this process have been studied for



ROD-CH=CH-NMe₂ (R = H, C₆H₅, OEt) ³⁾. For some hydrazones Hafner ⁴⁾ has proposed structures \underline{C} and \underline{D} , but hindered rotation about the N-N bond in this class of compounds has not yet been reported.

Our ΔG_c^{\ddagger} - values evaluated from the ¹H-NMR methyl signals (see table) indicate that the rate of rotation in enamines <u>1</u>, <u>2</u>, <u>3</u>, <u>4</u>⁵⁾, and <u>5</u> depends upon the electron-attracting properties of the substituents. In <u>6</u> and <u>7</u>⁶⁾ the benzo ring reduces the rotational barriers by 2 - 3 kcal mole⁻¹ as compared to <u>8</u> and <u>9</u>. A formyl group raises the free enthalpies of activation by 3 - 4 kcal mole⁻¹, as can be seen in the table by comparing <u>6</u> to <u>7</u>, <u>8</u> to <u>9</u>, and <u>9</u> to <u>10</u>. If one of the N-methyl groups is replaced by another substituent, rotational isomers are possible. It will be difficult to separate ⁷⁾ such

		Solvent	τ_1, τ_2	^т с (°С)	∆G° (kcal mole ⁻¹)
1	H ₅ C ₆ C ₆ H ₅ Me H C-N Me	d ₆ -Acetone		<-55	< 12 ⁸⁾
2	EtO ₂ C H Me H - N Me	CHCl3	6.9 , 7.2 -40°	0	139 ³⁾
3	O ₂ N H Me H - N Me	CDBr ₃	6.71 , 7.06 0°	+52	165
4	EtO2C CN Me	CDBr3	6.59 <i>,</i> 6.70 +35°, w 15	+52.5	17.3
5		CDBr3	6.64 <i>,</i> 6.71 +35 ^c , w 10	+60	18.0
6	H Me	d6-Acetone	6.74 <i>,</i> 6.85 -65°	-55.5	10,4
<u>7</u>	H CHO H Me	Pyridine/ CHCl ₃	6.96 <i>,</i> 7.09 -45°	+ 7	14.7
8	H C Me H	d6-Acetone	6.79 _/ 6.92 -55°	-16.5	13.4





isomers even in systems like $\underline{10}$ or $\underline{11}$, since the ΔG_c^{\dagger} - values for the isomerization are expected to be only 20.2 or 21.5 kcal mole⁻¹. Neither $\underline{12}$ nor the N,N-dimethylhydrazones of p-nitrobenzaldehyde and benzophenone show significant broadening of the average methyl signal above -65°. However, ΔG_c^{\dagger} for the formylated hydrazone $\underline{13}$ can be measured and is 5.2 kcal mole⁻¹ lower than the barrier for the corresponding enamine $\underline{9}$. From this result we conclude that the dipolar structure $\underline{8}$ contributes more to the ground state of enamines than $\underline{9}$ to the ground state of hydrazones. $\underline{12}$ is gradually decomposed in CD₃OD/CDCl₃(3:1) at 60°; under these conditions one ring proton of $\underline{6}$ is exchanged for deuterium (half-life ~100 hr), whereas two deuterons are introduced into the ring of $\underline{8}$ (half-life ~ 4 hr), further deuteration of $\underline{8}$ being slow. Apparently <u>electrophilic substitution</u> is favoured in these enamines in comparison to the less polar, diene-like hydrazone. The positions of the deuterium atoms have not yet been established.

The cis-trans relationships given in the table for the C=C bond in the enamines and the C=N bond in hydrazone $\underline{13}$ are not strictly proven in all cases. Isomerization at these "double" bonds proceeds more slowly than the above described rotation about the =C-N and =N-N "single" bonds in enamines and hydrazones. This is shown by the formyl protons of $\underline{10}$ differing in their chemical shifts up to +185° ($\Delta G_c^{\ddagger} > 25$ kcal mole⁻¹) and by the absorption of the ring protons in $\underline{12}$ resulting in an ABCD spectrum up to at least +150° ($\Delta G_c^{\ddagger} > 22$ kcal mole⁻¹).

Helpful discussions with Professor H.A.Staab are gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Miss A.Mattheus for experimental assistance.

- Part IV of the series "Protonenresonanz-Untersuchungen zur inneren Rotation". Part III: A.Mannschreck, A.Mattheus, and G.Rissmann, <u>J.Mol.</u> <u>Spectroscopy</u>, accepted for publication. Part II: Reference 7).
- See, for example, K.Hafner, K.H.Vöpel, G.Ploss, and C.König, <u>Liebigs Ann.</u> <u>Chem.</u> <u>661</u>, 52 (1963).
- H.E.A.Kramer and R.Gompper, <u>Z.Physik.Chem. (Frankfurt/M)</u> <u>43</u>, 292 (1964) and personal communication.
- 4) K.Hafner, G.Schulz, and K.Wagner, Liebigs Ann.Chem. 678, 39 (1964).
- 5) 4 and 11 were kindly provided by Dr.Mondelli, Milano. Cf. R.Mondelli and L.Merlini, <u>Gazz.Chim.Ital.</u> 95, 1371 (1965).
- 6) M.p. $\underline{6}$: 90 91°; $\underline{7}$: 160°. U.V. $\underline{6}$: 350 mµ (log $\boldsymbol{6}$ = 4.36), 282 (4.22), 277 (4.23), n-hexane; $\underline{7}$: 393 mµ (log $\boldsymbol{6}$ = 4.48), 254 (4.32), CCl_A.
- 7) A.Mannschreck, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u> 1965, 1341.
- 8) Calculated assuming $\tau_2 \tau_1 = 0.20$ at a temperature below -55° .